

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/27/2000 Duane Girard Uitenbroek KCC-14,607 6282 09/698,118 35844 7590 12/23/2003 EXAMINER PAULEY PETERSEN KINNE & ERICKSON WACHTEL, ALEXIS A 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 365** HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195 1764

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apr	olication No.	Applicant(s)		
		'		UITENBROEK ET AL.	1/10	
	Office Action Summers		698,118 ——————————————————————————————————			
	Office Action Summary		miner	Art Unit		
			xis Wachtel	correspondence addre	SS ==	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 August 2003</u> .						
-		2b) ☐ This action				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,26-37 and 39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>22,26-37,39</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449	/ (PTO-948)) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-1		

Application/Control Number: 09/698,118

Art Unit: 1764

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying Remarks filed 8-7-2003 have been entered and carefully considered.

The amendment is insufficient to overcome the obviousness rejections of claims 22,26-37 and 39.

- 2. The text of US code absent in this action can be found in a previous office action
- 3. Claims 22 and 26-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mormon 028' in view of US 6,129,801 to Benson et al as set forth in the previous office action.
- 4. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (Mormon '028) in view of US 6,129,801 to Benson et al and US 5,554,143 to Roe et al as set forth in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

5. The Applicant alleges that intermediate mechanical fixing steps as taught by Benson represent additional processing over the present invention which can lead to an increased chance of changing the structure or damaging the fibers or nonwoven web. The Applicant's speculatory reasoning is not found to be persuasive and cannot be given the weight of a declaration.

As best seen in section three of the previous office action, the Examiner used only two references as the basis for the inherency rejection of claims 29-35. The Applicant clearly understands that only two references were used in admitting that "only

Application/Control Number: 09/698,118

Art Unit: 1764

two references are under discussion..." Support for the use of only two references rather than three references is additionally found in the heading of section 3 of the previous office action. For this reason, a request for a further explanation of the inherency rejection of claims 29-35 is not found to be persuasive.

Per claim 39, in response to Applicant's argument that Roe teaches the desirability of using structural elastic-like film for a different reason than Applicant had contemplated, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,118

Art Unit: 1764

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alex Wachtel, whose number is (703)-306-0320. The Examiner can normally be reached Mondays-Fridays from 10:30am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Glenn Caldarola can be reached at (703) 308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gienn Caktarola

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

1.